FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

Preamble

Section 2108(a) of the Act provides that the State and Territories* must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each Federal fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the Federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The State is out of compliance with SCHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The State is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the State's program is incomplete.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

- Recognize the *diversity* of State approaches to SCHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their SCHIP programs, AND
- Provide *consistency* across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- Build on data aiready collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

^{* -} When "State" is referenced throughout this template, "State" is defined as either a state or a territory.

FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Territ	tory:			AK				
				(Name of	State/Territory)			
The following 2108(a)). Signature:								
Signature.	William J. Streur, Deputy Commissioner, Medicaid							
		William 5. Stre	ai, Depu	ity Commi	issioner, medical	ı u		
SCHIP Pro	SCHIP Program Name(s): All, Denali KidCare							
SCHIP Pro	SCHIP Program Type: SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Only Separate Child Health Program Only Combination of the above							
Reporting F	Period: 2	2007		Note: Fede	eral Fiscal Year 2007 s	tarts 10/1/06 and	ends 9/30/07.	
Contact Pe	erson/Title:	Barbara F. H	ale					
Address:	P.O. Box	110660						
City:	Juneau		State:	AK	Zip:	99811		
Phone:	Phone: 907-465-5833 Fax: 907-465-2204							
Email:	barbara.h	ale@alaska.gov						
Submission Date: 1/1/2008								

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)

SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF SCHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES

1) To provide a summary at-a-glance of your SCHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different SCHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

	SC	HIP Me	dicaid Expansi	on Progran	n		Separate Child Health Program				
			* Uppe	r % of FPL	are defi	ned as <u>l</u>	Jp to an	nd Including			
						From		% of FPL conception to birth	% of FPL *		
	From	0	% of FPL for infants	175	% of FPL *	From		% of FPL for infants	% of FPL *		
Eligibility	From	0	% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5	175	% of FPL *	From		% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5	% of FPL *		
	From	0	% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	175	% of FPL *	From		% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	% of FPL *		
	From	0	% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	175	% of FPL *	From		% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	% of FPL *		
						•			•		
			No					No			
								Yes - Please describe below For which populations (include FPL levels) [1000]			
Is presumpti provided for		, _	Yes, for who	om and how	/ long? [1	000]		Average number of preseligibility periods grante individual and average or presumptive eligibility periods.	d per duration of th		

		IV/A		IN/A
La 10 (10 a 10 a 10 a 10 a 10 a 10 a 10 a		No		No
Is retroactive eligibility	ш	No	Ш	No

Brief description of your presumptive

eligibility policies [1000]

available?		families whose income qualifies.		Yes, for whom and how long?		
		N/A		N/A		
Does your State Plan				No		
contain authority to implement a waiting list?		Not applicable		Yes		
implement a waiting list:				N/A		
Does your program have		No		No		
a mail-in application?		Yes		Yes		
		N/A		N/A		
Can an applicant apply		No		No		
for your program over the phone?		Yes		Yes		
		N/A		N/A		
Does your program have an application on your		No		No		
website that can be printed, completed and		Yes		Yes		
mailed in?		N/A		N/A		
	\boxtimes	No		No		
		Yes – please check all that apply		Yes – please check all that apply		
		Signature page must be printed and mailed in		Signature page must be printed and mailed in		
Can an applicant apply		Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income		Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income		
for your program on-line?		documentation)		documentation)		
		Electronic signature is required		Electronic signature is required		
				☐ No Signature is required		
		N/A		N/A		
Does your program		No] No		
require a face-to-face interview during initial		Yes] Yes		
application		N/A] N/A		

		No			No			
		Yes			Yes			
Does your program	Specify n	umber of months	12	Specify number of months				
require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting					ips (including FPL levels) does uninsurance apply? [1000]			
period)?				List all exemp uninsurance [tions to imposing the period of 1000]			
		N/A			N/A			
				T				
Does your program	∐ No)			No			
match prospective enrollees to a database	⊠ Ye	es			Yes			
that details private insurance status?					If yes, what database? [1000]			
	N/	A			N/A			
				•				
Does your program	□ No				No			
provide period of continuous coverage	\boxtimes	Yes			Yes			
regardless of income changes?		Specify number of mor		Specify number of months				
	eligibility of	ircumstances when a chil during the time period in t	ne box below	Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below				
	regardless	e 6-month period, a chile of changes in income, cus or household compo	resources,					
	the 6th mo child reach month the institution;	is eligibility ends (1) at the end of the end of the end of the end age 19; (3) at the end child is incarcerated in (4) with the death of the	ne month the nd of the a penal ne child; (5)					
when a case is closed due to loss of contact with the client (i.e., coupon is returned in mail with no forwarding address); or (6) when the client loses Alaska residency.								
	including patelephone adult who household	nust report circumstand ohysical and/or mailing numbers; any child, pa has moved in or out of l; health insurance cove n pregnancy status.	address; arent or other the					

		N/A				N/A			
		-1							
		No		┡	No				
		Yes			Ye				
		Ilment fee Imount		Eni	Enrollment fee amount				
Does your program	Premi	um amount		Pren	nium	amount			
require premiums or an	Ye	arly cap		Y	early	сар			
enrollment fee?	If yes,		ee structure in the box low	belo	w (ind	cluding pre	ee structure in the box mium/enrollment fee Federal poverty levels propriate)		
		N/A			N/	'A			
Does your program	□ No)			No				
impose copayments or coinsurance?	⊠ Ye	es			Yes				
consulance:	□ N/A				N/A				
De se view presum	No				No				
Does your program impose deductibles?	Yes				Yes				
	□ N/	A		□ N/A					
	No)			No				
	☐ Ye	es			Yes				
Does your program require an assets test?	If Yes, p	lease describe	below	If Yes,	If Yes, please describe below				
	□ N/	A			N/A				
Does your program require income	□ No				No				
disregards?	⊠ Ye				Yes				
		lease describe		If Yes,	pleas	e describe	below		
	entitled the Actual domay be of 2. For ear adult, actually made by the pare	o a \$90 work e ependent care deducted for each child > age tual care costs cted. Monthly controlled a household not claiming this their needs or	KidCare applicant is expense deduction. costs up to \$200/month ach child < or = to age 2 or incapacitated up to \$175/month may shild support payments nember are allowed if deduction has income in the eligibility						

		N/A	N/A
		No	No
	\boxtimes	Yes	Yes
Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility expiring?	_	We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation	We send out form to family with their information precompleted and ask for confirmation
oxpining.		We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed	We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed
		N/A	N/A

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

Regarding the first question pertaining to eligibility, in September 2003, the FPGs for Alaska's SCHIP Medicaid Expansion, Denali KidCare, were reduced from 200% to 175% of the FPG frozen at the 2003 standard for recipients included in the 151% to 175% Medicaid subtype due to a legislative change. In 2003, as reported, Alaska was the only State to reduce eligibility levels while other States reduced benefits, increased out-of-pocket costs in separate SCHIPS, or froze enrollment. The 2003 frozen FPG standard does not allow for inflation adjustments to the guidelines that effectively result in a lower standard each year. To accurately report this information and to reflect the frozen 2003 upper limit FPG standard, the upper limit on the FPGs for Alaska for families with incomes greater than or equal to 151% FPL was 154% FPG effective April 1, 2007. This represents a decline of approximately 4% over the previous year's upper FPG limit. Effective July 1, 2007, FPGs were increased in Alaska Statute to 175% to allow annual adjustments for inflation to the FPGs as defined by the United States Department of Health and Human Services and revised under 42 U.S.C. 9902(2). The corresponding Title XXI State Plan Amendment was approved on December 28, 2007 with an effective date of July 1, 2007 (see attachment - approval letter.

Regarding the question above - Does your program impose co-payments or coinsurance? Alaska imposes co-payments in its Medicaid Program and Medicaid Expansion SCHIP on 18 year olds who are not pregnant and are not Alaskan Native or American Indian receiving service inside their IHS Tribal Health Facilities.

Comments on Responses in Table:

2.	Is there an assets test for children in your Medicaid program?		Yes	\boxtimes	No		N/A
3.	Is it different from the assets test in your separate child health program? If yes, please describe in the narrative section below the asset test in your program.		Yes		No	\boxtimes	N/A
4.	Are there income disregards for your Medicaid program?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A

	5. Are they different from the income disregards in your separate child health program? If yes, please describe in the narrative section below the income disregards used in your separate child health program. Yes No No NA								
	6.	Is a joint application used for your Medicaid and separate child health program?	n	Ye	es [No	⊠ N/	'A
	7.	Indicate what documentation is required at initial application							
		Self-Declaration Documentat Income Citizenship Insured Status	ion Re ⊠ ⊠	<u>quired</u>					
		u made changes to any of the following policy or program areas duringes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column.	g the re	eporting pe	eriod?	Ple	ase		
				Medicaid ansion S0 Program	CHIP		С	Separate hild Healt Program	
			Yes	No Change	N/A		Yes	No Change	N/A
a)		ant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair g Process to State Law)							
b)	Applica	ition	\boxtimes						
c)	Applica	ation documentation requirements		\boxtimes					
d)	Benefit	structure		\boxtimes					
e)	Cost sh	naring (including amounts, populations, & collection process)		\boxtimes					
f)	Crowd	out policies		\boxtimes					
g)	Deliver	y system		\boxtimes					
h)		ty determination process (including implementing a waiting lists or nrollment periods)							
)	Eligibili	ty levels / target population	\boxtimes						
)	Assets	test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP		\boxtimes					
k)	Income	e disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP		\boxtimes					
)	Eligibili	ty redetermination process		\boxtimes					
m)	Enrollm	nent process for health plan selection							

n)	Family coverage							
o)	Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)							
p)	Premium assistance							
q)	Prenatal Eligibility expansion							
r)	Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)							
	Parents							
	Pregnant women							
	Childless adults				\boxtimes			
s)	Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation of fraud and abuse	, and referral of cases						
t)	Other – please specify							
	a							
	b.							
	C.	-						
a (e	For each topic you responded yes to above, please ex Applicant and enrollee protections e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing rocess to State Law)	plain the change and w	hy the	change w	as mad	e, below:		
b) Application	Minor changes were r November 2006 included documentation clarific	ding ne	w termino	ology,wc	ording sim	nplification	n,
c	Application documentation requirements							
d) Benefit structure							
е	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)							
f)	Crowd out policies							

g)	Delivery system	
h) (ind	Eligibility determination process cluding implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)	
i)	Eligibility levels / target population	The eligibility levels were increased in statute to 175% FPG to allow annual adjustments for inflation as defined by the United States Department of Health and Human Services and revised under 42 U.S.C. 9902(2).
j)	Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
k)	Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
I)	Eligibility redetermination process	
m)	Enrollment process for health plan selection	
n)	Family coverage	
o)	Outreach	
p)	Premium assistance	
q)	Prenatal Eligibility Expansion	
r)	Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
	Parents	
	Pregnant women	
	Childless adults	
s)	Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
t)	Other – please specify	
	a.	

D.		
С.		

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the core performance measures for the SCHIP program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the core performance measures to the extent data is available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF CORE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

CMS is directed to examine national performance measures by the SCHIP Final Rules of January 11, 2001. To address this SCHIP directive, and to address the need for performance measurement in Medicaid, CMS, along with other Federal and State officials, developed a core set of performance measures for Medicaid and SCHIP. The group focused on well-established measures whose results could motivate agencies, providers, and health plans to improve the quality of care delivered to enrollees. After receiving comments from Medicaid and SCHIP officials on an initial list of 19 measures, the group recommended seven core measures, including four core child health measures:

- Well child visits in the first 15 months of life
- Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of life
- · Use of appropriate medications for children with asthma
- Children's access to primary care practitioners

These measures are based on specifications provided by the Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS®). HEDIS® provides a useful framework for defining and measuring performance. However, use of HEDIS® methodology is <u>not</u> required for reporting on your measures. The HEDIS® methodology can also be modified based on the availability of data in your State.

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second column, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2005 and FFY 2006) will be populated with data from previously reported data in SARTS, enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2007). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:

If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each performance measure as follows:

- <u>Population not covered</u>: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.
- <u>Data not available</u>: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.
- <u>Small sample size</u>: Check this box if the sample size (i.e., denominator) for a particular measure is less than 30. If the sample size is less than 30, your State is not required to report data on the measure. However, please indicate the exact sample size in the space provided.
- Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

- <u>Provisional</u>: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2007.
- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2007.

• Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:

For each performance measure, please indicate the measurement specification (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® technical specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other source with measurement specifications unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2007). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data – administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). If another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population included in the Measure:

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined. Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion).

Note: You do not need to report data for all delivery system types. You may choose to report data for only the delivery system with the most enrollees in your program.

Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: SARTS will calculate the rate if you enter the numerator and denominator. Otherwise, if you only have the rate, enter it in the rate box.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2008, 2009, and 2010. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2005 through 2007), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On

the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

MEASURE: Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□ No	∑ Yes ☐ No	∑ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. Explain:
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Guier. Expirim.	Guier. Explain.	Guier. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
☑Other. Explain:	☑Other. Explain:	☑Other. <i>Explain</i> :
CMS 416 - Specialized 416 run to compare Titles XXI and	CMS 416 - Specialized 416 run to compare Titles XXI and	CMS 416 - Specialized 416 run to compare Titles XXI and
XIX EPSDT services. In this section, Title XXI information	XIX EPSDT services. In this section, Title XXI information	XIX EPSDT services. In this section, Title XXI information
is reported.	is reported.	is reported.
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
MMIS Claims System and EPSDT Subsystem	MMIS Claims System and EPSDT Subsystem	MMIS Claims System and EPSDT Subsystem
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Children through 15 months of age	Definition of numerator: Children through 15 months of age	Definition of numerator: Children through 15 months of age
who received screens.	who received screens.	who received screens.
who received screens.	who received screens.	who received beloches.

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007	
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006	

	FFY 2005		FFY 2006		FFY 2007	
	EDIS Performance Measurement Data:				Measurement Data:	
	IS/HEDIS-like methodology)		IS/HEDIS-like methodology)		OIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	
Percent with specified	number of visits	Percent with specified number of visits Per		Percent with specified	number of visits	
<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits	<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits	<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
1 visit	<u>5 visits</u>	1 visit	5 visits	1 visit	<u>5 visits</u>	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
2 visits	6+ visits	2 visits	6+ visits	2 visits	<u>6+ visits</u>	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
3 visits		3 visits		3 visits		
Numerator:				Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:		Denominator:		Denominator:		
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Additional notes on me	easure:	Additional notes on me	asure:	Additional notes on me	easure:	
Other Performance M		Other Performance M		Other Performance N		
(If reporting with anoth	her methodology)	(If reporting with anoth	er methodology)	(If reporting with anot	her methodology)	
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:		
Denominator:		Denominator:		Denominator:		
Rate: 2.5		Rate: 2.5		Rate: 2.4		
	easure: Enrolled in Title XXI only and		easure: Enrolled in Title XXI only a		easure: Enrolled in Title XXI only and	
	nd XIX for year - If the above two		nd XIX for year - If the above to		nd XIX for year - If the above two	
	combined for Title XXI data as is the		combined for Title XXI data as is t		combined for Title XXI data as is the	
	- 6 year old age category, then there		- 6 year old age category, then the		- 6 year old age category, then there	
	igible, denominator, through age 15		gible, denominator, through age		ligible, denominator, through age 15	
months who received 2.5 screens/child.	553 screens, numerator, representing			months who received 2.4 screens/child.	466 screens, numerator, representing	
Baseline 2.5 screens a represents a screening i	creens and Baseline year is FFY 05. This eening ratio of 39%. Baseline 2.5 screens and Baseline year is FFY 05. This represents a screening ratio of 40%. Baseline 2.5 screens and Baseline year is FFY 05. This represents a screening ratio of 42%.					

Rate calculator does not work correctly above.	Rate calculator does not work correctly above.	(Check this)-Rate calculator does not work correctly above.
Explanation of Progress:		
How did your performance in 2007 compare with the	Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Ar	anual Report?
Are there any quality improvement activities that con	tribute to your progress?	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

MEASURE: Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30)	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
_ '		
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Final. Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
CMS 416 - Specialized 416 run to compare Titles XXI and	CMS 416 - Specialized 416 run to compare Titles XXI and	CMS 416 - Specialized 416 run to compare Titles XXI and
XIX EPSDT services	XIX EPSDT services	XIX EPSDT services
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
MMIS Claims System and EPSDT Subsystem	MMIS Claims System and EPSDT Subsystem	MMIS Claims System and EPSDT Subsystem
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator: Children ages 3-6 years.Children	Definition of numerator: Children ages 3-6 years.	Definition of numerator: Children ages 3-6 years.
ages 3-6 years.		
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
		<u> </u>

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life (continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate: 2.41	Rate: 2.35	Rate:
Additional notes on measure: For the 3-6 year old well-child visits, the screening ratio is the same for both Titles XIX and XXI, about 42%.	Additional notes on measure: For the 3-6 year old well-child visits, the screening ratio is 41% for Title XIX and 43% for Title XXI.	Additional notes on measure: For the 3-6 year old well-child visits, the screening ratio is 39% for Title XIX and 43% for Title XXI.
Title XXI numerator, number of screens — 1,438 Title XXI denominator, number of eligibles - 3,469 or ~2.41 screens/child	Title XXI numerator, number of screens — 1,462 Title XXI denominator, number of eligibles - 3,434 or ~2.35 screens/child	Title XXI numerator, number of screens — 1,335 Title XXI denominator, number of eligibles - 3,131 or ~2.35 screens/child

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE: Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?
Yes	Yes	Yes
☐ Yes ☐ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
_		
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	\boxtimes Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Alaska is not a managed care state and has not utilized the	As reported in the past, Alaska is not a managed care state	As reported in the past, Alaska is not a managed care state
HEDIS. We understand that there is now a HEDIS	and does not utilize the HEDIS. As reported previously,	and does not utilize the HEDIS. As reported previously,
component for non-managed care states to assist in the	given limited resources, the Title XXI enrollment decline	given limited resources, the Title XXI enrollment decline
collection of this data; however, we presently do not have the	(~8,000 children as compared to ~54,000 children enrolled in	(~7,000 children as compared to ~49,000 children enrolled in
resources to devote to this data collection and analysis as they	Title XIX) and focus on the new MMIS re-procurement and	Title XIX) and focus on the new MMIS re-procurement and
are focused on the design and development of the new	implementation, it is not likely that this will be a priority at	implementation, it is not likely that this will be a priority at
MMIS, which is now under re-procurement. It would not be	this time.	this time.
prudent to spend time or dollars on programming to retrieve		
this data from the old MMIS.		
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
-		
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:	

Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma (continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications
5-9 years	5-9 years	<u>5-9 years</u>
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
10-17 years	10-17 years	10-17 years
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	<u> </u>	· ·

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure: Alaska is not able to report on this goal presently; however, attached are the child excerpts from the 2006 Asthma in Alaska Report which was the first compilation of asthma statistics for Alaska. The report shows that while the prevalence of children on Medicaid with asthma has increased, nearly doubled, for the period 1999 to 2002, corresponding hospitalizations have decreased and the prevalence of inhaled corticosteroid use has increased.

2003 NSCH charts attached.

MEASURE: Children's Access to Primary Care Practitioners

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007		
Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?	Did you report on this goal?		
Yes	Yes	Yes		
No	No	⊠ No		
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:		
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.		
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :		
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).		
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:		
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:		
We intend to review the HEDIS fee-for-service module;	Given the previous explanations including lack of resources,	Same response as for 2005 and 2006. Under reauthorization,		
however, to purchase this module for our State to answer three	declining Title XXI enrollment and the re-procurement and	once the quality measures are defined and the criteria and		
of the performance measures does not seem feasible. We don't	development and implementation of the MMIS, it is not	methodology is the same for all states, or at least the same for		
have resources to devote as we are focused on the development	feasible now to collect and analyze this data.	the managed care plans and fee for service, and states are		
of a new MMIS that we have to re-procure, fall 2005. It	reasione now to concert and unaryze and data.	provided guidance and assistance in setting up the quality		
wouldn't be prudent to invest in programming to retrieve data		measurements for comparative analysis, it is more likely that		
from the old MMIS. See CMS Form 416 for similar data.		states will be able to retrieve the data and report.		
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:		
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.		
Final.	Final.	Final.		
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously		
reported:	reported:	reported:		
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:		
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:		
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:		
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:		
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :		
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:		
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :		
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :		
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :		
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:		
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:		
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.		
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).		
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:		
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:		

	FFY 2005		FFY 2006		FFY 2007	
HEDIS Performance Me	easurement Data:	HEDIS Performance M	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:		HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with HEDIS/	HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)		(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	
Percent with a PCP visit		Percent with a PCP visit		Percent with a PCP visit		
<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
25 months-6 years	<u>12-19 years</u>	25 months-6 years	<u>12-19 years</u>	25 months-6 years	<u>12-19 years</u>	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure	ure:	Additional notes on meas	ure:	Additional notes on meas	sure:	
Other Performance Mea	surement Data:	Other Performance Mea	asurement Data:	Other Performance Me	asurement Data:	
(If reporting with another	methodology)	(If reporting with another	· methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)		
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:		
Denominator:		Denominator:	Denominator:			
Rate:		Rate:	Rate:			
Additional notes on measu	ure:	Additional notes on meas	Additional notes on measure: Additional notes		sure:	
Employetion of Decorage						

Explanation of Progress:

How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report?

Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure: Alaska is not able to report on this goal presently; however, two charts from the National Survey of Children's Health (NSCH) 2003 are attached. New data from the NSCH should be available next year as the national survey is being conducted again. This data was attached to the 2005 AK Title XXI Annual Report.

SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

1. The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in SCHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 in your State's 4th quarter data report (submitted in October) in the SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by SARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2006	FFY 2007	Percent change FFY 2006-2007
SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	20432	16828	-17.64
Separate Child Health Program	0	0	

A. Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent.

Under the CMS Statistical Enrollment Data Reporting System (SEDS), not only are states required to report numbers of unduplicated children ever enrolled during the year in Title XXI, which are reflected in the table above, but also point-in-time numbers for quarter-end, not shown above, which more closely has reflected our methods (average monthly enrollment) of reporting in this section in the past and our expenditure reporting.

For Alaska, the FFY 2007 Ever-Enrolled number above is a preliminary number as entered in SEDS in October 2006 for FFY Qtr 4. Alaska enters preliminary data 30 days after quarter-end for the most recent quarter, and then enters final data for that same quarter 30 days after the close of the following quarter and certifies the data at that time; therefore, final data for FFY 07 Qtr 4 will be certified by January 31, 2008. We do this to reflect retroactive eligibility policy, and the numbers do vary.

The number of unduplicated children ever enrolled for the year above reflects a 17.64% decrease in enrollment between 2006 and 2007. In FFY 07, for the first time, the point-in-time quarter-end numbers for children enrolled on the last day of the quarter show about the same percentage decline in enrollment as do the unduplicated ever enrolled in the year enrollment numbers. Quarter-end point-in-time numbers for the same quarter-end periods show that the final/certified enrollment number at the end of FFY 2006 was 8,812 and the preliminary enrollment number for FFY 07 was 7,211, thus reflecting an 18% decline in enrollment.

This enrollment decline can be attributed to our continued decline in the upper FPG limit in April 2007 to 154% FPG and to the effects of the Deficit Reduction Act Medicaid citizenship documentation requirements implemented in July 2006. (See attached enrollment chart)

2. The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2004-2006. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. SARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2007 Annual Report Template.

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty		Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty as a Percent of Total Children Under Age 19	
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996 - 1998	18	3.4	8.0	1.5
1998 - 2000	17	3.3	7.7	1.5
2000 - 2002	12	2.0	5.8	1.0
2002 - 2004	12	2.0	5.9	1.0
2003 - 2005	10	2.2	5.3	1.1
2004 - 2006	9	2.0	4.9	1.1
Percent change 1996-1998 vs. 2004-2006	-50.0%	NA	-38.8%	NA

A. Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children.

Please refer to the FFY 06 Annual Report for the discussion of the CPS data and its effects on the funding formula for Alaska.

B. Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates.

Please refer to the FFY 06 Annual Report for the discussion of the CPS data and its effects on the funding formula for Alaska.

3.	Please indicate by checking the box below whether your State has an alternate data source and/o methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.
	☐ Yes (please report your data in the table below)
	No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	
Reporting period (2 or more	
points in time)	
Methodology	
Population (Please include ages	
and income levels)	
Sample sizes	
Number and/or rate for two or	
more points in time	
Statistical significance of results	

- A. Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.
- B. What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.)
- C. What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology?
- D. How does your State use this alternate data source in SCHIP program planning?
- 4. How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of SCHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information

SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your SCHIP State Plan. (If Section 9 of your SCHIP State Plan has changed, please indicate when it changed, and how the goals and objectives in Section 9 of your State Plan and the goals reported in this section of the annual report are different. Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- Reducing the number of uninsured children
- SCHIP enrollment
- Medicaid enrollment
- Increasing access to care
- Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, report data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2005 and FFY 2006) will be populated with data from previously reported data in SARTS, enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2007).

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the four core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not_report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. All new goals should include a direction and a target. For clarification only, an <u>example</u> goal would be: "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of SCHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13th birthday."

Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

- New/revised: Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.
- <u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- <u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

- <u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data
 are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for
 FFY 2007.
- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2007.
- Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

Measurement Specification:

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2007). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

Data Source:

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and SCHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

Definition of Population Included in Measure:

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please also check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

Performance Measurement Data:

<u>Describe what is being measured</u>: Please provide a brief explanation of the information you intend to capture through the performance measure.

Numerator, Denominator, and Rate: Please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). For the objectives related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, the template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

Explanation of Progress:

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2008, 2009, and 2010. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2005 through 2007), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
I.1 Market the Children's Health Insurance Program	I.1 Market the Children's Health Insurance Program	I.1 Market the Children's Health Insurance Program
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	⊠ Continuing.	⊠ Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
I.1 No Change. We continue to market the Denali KidCare	I.1 No Change. We continue to market the Denali KidCare	I.1 No Change. We continue to market the Denali KidCare
Program in accordance with our Title XXI State Plan. This	Program in accordance with our Title XXI State Plan. This	Program in accordance with our Title XXI State Plan. This
performance goal was accomplished and exceeded as shown	performance goal was accomplished and exceeded as shown	performance goal was accomplished and exceeded as shown
on the FFY 00 Annual Report.	on the FFY 00 Annual Report.	on the FFY 00 Annual Report.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
		D. C. '.'. C. 1 . ' . '
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.	Definition of numerator.
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Described what is being measured.	Described what is being ineasured.	Described what is being measured.
	Numerator:	Numerator:
Numerator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Denominator:	Rate:	Rate:
Rate:	Tute.	rute.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
A rectional notes on measure.	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	Daplamaton of Frogress.	Daplamation of 1 10g1cos.
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the	How did your performance in 2007 compare with the
	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
	2005 Annual Report?	2006 Annual Report?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Are there any quality improvement activities that	Are there any quality improvement activities that
	contribute to your progress?	contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2007 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2006 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	I e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	ı

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
I.2 De-link SCHIP eligibility determination from Public	I.2 De-link SCHIP eligibility determination from Public	I.2 De-link SCHIP eligibility determination from Public
Assistance programs and simplify the eligibility process.	Assistance programs and simplify the eligibility process.	Assistance programs and simplify the eligibility process.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
□ New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
I.2 All four of the performance measures below were	I.2 All four of the performance measures below were	I.2 All four of the performance measures below were
completed and implemented prior to program inception. This	completed and implemented prior to program inception. This	completed and implemented prior to program inception. This
performance goal was accomplished; however, we continue	performance goal was accomplished; however, we continue	performance goal was accomplished; however, we continue
to improve eligibility processes.	to improve eligibility processes.	working to improve eligibility processes.
Create separate SCHIP eligibility determination unit.	Create separate SCHIP eligibility determination unit.	Create separate SCHIP eligibility determination unit.
 Create separate SCIII englority determination unit. Create mail-in application process and shorten application. 	Create mail-in application process and shorten application.	Create mail-in application process and shorten application.
3. Implement policy for continuous eligibility for children	3. Implement policy for continuous eligibility for children	3. Implement policy for continuous eligibility for children
and eliminate asset test.	and eliminate asset test.	and eliminate asset test.
4. Eliminate face-to-face interview.	4. Eliminate face-to-face interview.	4. Eliminate face-to-face interview.
CLA CDAD A	CLA CDAD A	CLA CDA D
Status of Data Reported: Provisional.	Status of Data Reported: Provisional.	Status of Data Reported: Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
Other Comments on Measure:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set: Other Comments on Measure:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Described what is being measured.	Described white is being incustred.	Described what is being measured.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Rate.	Rate.	Nate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Biscontinued. Explain.	Discontinued. Explain.	Discontinued. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Dominion of 1 opulation monday and included in	2 common of 1 opulation mentals in the 112 and	2 0 1 1 0 p u u v v 1 1 0 p u u v v 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
I.3 Enroll targeted low-income children in the State	I.3 Enroll targeted low-income children in the State	I.3 Enroll targeted low-income children in the State
Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP)	Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP)	Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	⊠ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
I.3 The number of unduplicated children ever enrolled	I.3 The number of unduplicated children ever enrolled	I.3 The number of unduplicated children ever enrolled
during the year, SCHIP, between 10/1/99-9/30/00 was	during the year, SCHIP, between 10/1/99-9/30/00 was	during the year, SCHIP, between 10/1/99-9/30/00 was
13,143. This goal was accomplished/exceeded in FFY 2000.	13,143. This goal was accomplished/exceeded in FFY 2000.	13,143. This goal was accomplished/exceeded in FFY 2000.
Between 10/1/00-9/30/01, the number of unduplicated	Between 10/1/00-9/30/01, the number of unduplicated	Between 10/1/00-9/30/01, the number of unduplicated
children ever enrolled, SCHIP was 21,831, and between	children ever enrolled, SCHIP was 21,831, and between	children ever enrolled, SCHIP was 21,831, and between
10/1/01-9/30/02 the number was 22,306. The number in FFY	10/1/01-9/30/02 the number was 22,306. The number in FFY	10/1/01-9/30/02 the number was 22,306. The number in FFY
03, SCHIP, was 22,934. The number of in FFY 04, SCHIP,	03, SCHIP, was 22,934. The number of in FFY 04, SCHIP,	03, SCHIP, was 22,934. The number of in FFY 04, SCHIP,
was 21,966 The number in FFY 05, was 22,281.	was 21,966 The number in FFY 05, was 22,322, and in FFY	was 21,966 The number in FFY 05, was 22,322, and in FFY
	06 was 22,227.	06 was 22,432. In FFY 07, the number is 16,828.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
⊠ Provisional.	⊠ Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Data Source:	reported: Data Source:	reported: Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guiei. specyy.	Guidi. Speegy.	Guier. Speedy.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure: After certification between FFY 04-05 there was 1.6% rather than 1% decline in everenrolled numbers as reported provisionally. Between FFY 04-05, quarter-end point-in-time numbers declined 9.6% (11,674-10,558) rather than 8% reported after SEDS certification. Between FFY 05 and 06, an enrollment decline of .43% was shown in year-end ever-enrolled numbers provisionally. FFY 05-06 quarter-end numbers provisionally show an enrollment decline of 23.65% (10,558-8,061).	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Discontinued. Explain.	☐ Discontinued. Explain.	☐ Discontinued. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Ü	Ü	C
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Natc.	Natc.	Nate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Biscontinued. Explain.	☐ Discontinued. Explain.	☐ Discontinued. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
1	1	1
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
_ 1 03		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure: After certification between	Other Comments on Measure: Between FFY 05 and 06, an	Other Comments on Measure: Between FFY 05 and 06, an
FFY 04-05 there was 1.6% rather than 1% decline in ever-	enrollment decline of .43% was shown in year-end ever-	enrollment decline of .43% was shown in year-end ever-
enrolled numbers as reported provisionally. Between FFY	enrolled numbers provisionally. FFY 05-06 quarter-end	enrolled numbers provisionally. After the numbers were
04-05, quarter-end point-in-time numbers declined 9.6%	numbers provisionally show an enrollment decline of	certified, there was a decline of 8.5% in the year-end ever
(11,674-10,558) rather than 8% reported after SEDS	23.65%(10,558- 8,061).	enrolled numbers (FFY 05 - 22,322 & FFY 06 - 20,432).
certification.		FFY 05-06 quarter-end numbers provisionally showed an
		enrollment decline of 23.65%(10,558- 8,061). After the
		numbers were certified the FFY 05-06 decline was 16.5%
		(10,558 - 8,812).

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe) II.1 Deliver EPSDT services to children enrolled in SCHIP at the same rate as children enrolled in regular Medicaid.	Goal #1 (Describe) II.1 Deliver EPSDT services to children enrolled in SCHIP at the same rate as children enrolled in regular Medicaid.	Goal #1 (Describe) II.1 Deliver EPSDT services to children enrolled in SCHIP at the same rate as children enrolled in regular Medicaid.
Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain: Methodology: CMS 416 specialized report run to compare Titles XXI and XIX EPSDT services.	Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain: Methodology: CMS 416 specialized report run to compare Titles XXI and XIX EPSDT services.	Measurement Specification: ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain: Methodology: CMS 416 specialized report run to compare Titles XXI and XIX EPSDT services.
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes SCHIP population only. Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children eligible for EPSDT services under Medicaid.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children eligible for EPSDT services under Medicaid.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator: Children eligible for EPSDT services under Medicaid.
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure: The 2004 information as reported in the 2005 Title XXI Annual Report is too long to fit in these boxes, and I don't want to alter what has already been reported and certified. Please refer to the Denali KidCare 2005 Title XXI Annual report for participation rates and well as numerators and denominators as well as the section on Performance Progress/Year.	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure: Additional notes on measure: Well Child Exam Participation Title XXI–SCHIP – Numerator=4,940; Denominator=10,237; 48% have received one or more well child exams during FFY 05. Title XIX – Numerator=25,007; Denominator=48,595; 52% have received one or more well child exams during FFY 05. Dental Participation Title XXI–SCHIP – Numerator=9,891; Denominator=19,276; 51% received "any dental service" during FFY 05.	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure: Add. notes: Well Child Exam Participation Title XXI–SCHIP–Numerator=4,581; Denominator=9,213;50% have received one or more well child exams during FFY 06. Title XIX–Numerator=21,928; Denominator=42,873; 51% have received one or more well child exams during FFY 06. Dental Participation Title XXI–SCHIP–Numerator=9,070; Denominator=17,648;51% received "any dental service" during FFY 06. Title XIX-Numerator=25,396; Denominator=70,162;36% received "any dental service" during FFY 06.
	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Explanation of Progress: How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report? Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress? Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009: continuation of 2006 other Performance Measurement Data discussion, 2006 above:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: continuation of 2007 other Performance Measurement Data discussion, 2007 above:
	Number Enrolled: Medicaid Only, Title XIX, enrollment has been flat since FFY 2004 while in the same time period SCHIP enrollment has been slowly decreasing. In FFY 2004 the decrease was accentuated by two FFY 2003 policy changes:	Number Enrolled: Medicaid Only, Title XIX, enrollment has risen only slightly since FFY 2004 while in the same time period SCHIP enrollment has been slowly decreasing.
	 Reducing the SCHIP eligibility limit from 200% Federal Poverty Level (FPL) to 175%. Not increasing the eligibility limit to adjust for inflation. 	Well Child Exam Participation During FFY 2006 a measure of the rate for delivery of services to Title XXI children was somewhat higher than Title XIX children. Exceptions were SCHIP
	Well Child Exam Participation	infants (because infants born to Title XIX mothers are funded by that Title for the first year) and the 19 and 20 year olds who almost exactly match.
	During FFY 2005 a measure of the rate for delivery of services were substantially similar.	Explain how these objectives were set: This statistic measures the number of children who should have received at
	Explain how these objectives were set: This statistic measures the number of children who should have received at least one well child exam to the number who received at least one. The similarity is closer when considering infants are on Medicaid and transfer to SCHIP coverage later. SCHIP children, who come from households with higher incomes than Medicaid children, have a slightly higher well child exam participation rate for all children one year and older.	least one well child exam to the number who received at least one. The similarity is closer when considering infants are on Medicaid and transfer to SCHIP coverage later. SCHIP children, who come from households with higher incomes than Medicaid children, have a slightly higher well child exam participation rate for all children one year and older and have the same participation rate for the 19 and 20 year olds.
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: Dental Participation	Other Comments on Measure: Dental Participation
	SCHIP children received dental services at the same or lower rate than children in Title XIX Medicaid during FFY 2005.	SCHIP children received dental services at a higher rate than children in Title XIX Medicaid during FFY 2006.
	See attached FFY 05 Titles XIX and XXI Enrolled in SCHIP - EPSDT.	See attached FFY 06 Titles XIX and XXI Enrolled in SCHIP - EPSDT.

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: Other. Explain:
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007	
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: Administrative (claims data). Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Survey data. Specify: Other. Specify:	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). Definition of numerator:	
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:	
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007	
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	
Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	
Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification: ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	
Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes SCHIP population only. □ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Definition of numerator:	
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:	
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	

FFY 2005	FFY 2006	FFY 2007
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2006 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2005 Annual Report?
	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?	Are there any quality improvement activities that contribute to your progress?
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? What have you found?

Alaska measures the access to, or the quality or outcomes of care received by our Denali KidCare population through state added questions on the annual Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS). A copy of the 2006 BRFSS state added questions is attached.

The percentage of children with some type of health coverage stayed the same between 2005 and 2006, 92%. The percentage of children with Denali KidCare or Medicaid coverage declined by three percent between 2005 and 2006. The percentage of children who had coverage at the time of survey who also had a period of non coverage during the past 12 months increased by almost 2% from 2005 to 2006. The percentage of children who did not have coverage at the time of survey, but who had health coverage for some period during the last 12 months increased by nearly 11% from 2005 to 2006 which indicates coverage instability for children. Slightly fewer children saw a health professional for medical care between 2005 and 2006 which trends with our performance measure and strategic goals and objectives EPSDT data. It appears that the percentage of children receiving all the medical care needed was about the same/slightly higher than 2005.

2. What strategies does your SCHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? When will data be available?

The Denali KidCare Program has no new plans for future measurement of the access to, or the quality or outcomes of care received by our Denali KidCare population. As stated above, we now conduct one general quality assurance/access to care survey that is not targeted and has, thus far, revealed that access to care, quality of care and outcomes of care comply with HRSA standards.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your SCHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

No, we have not conducted any focused quality studies on our SCHIP population. In the past, we conducted two general quality assurance/access to care surveys that are not targeted and have, thus far, revealed that access to care, quality of care and outcomes of care are in compliance with HRSA standards.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your SCHIP program's performance. Please list attachments here and summarize findings or list main findings.

Enter any Narrative text below [7500].

SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

OUTREACH

1. How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period? [7500]

There have been no changes or redirection to outreach strategies during FFY 07.

2. What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness? **Would you consider** this a best practice? [7500]

Denali KidCare marketing materials continued to be visible across the state and are consistent, attractive and easily identifiable. The branding was effective. We continue to partner with government agencies to assist with the effective administration of the Medicaid program through outreach and enrollment and other Medicaid Administrative Claiming activities.

3. Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)? Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

In FFY 07, we continued to collaborate with Tribal Health Facilities targeting Native children through Tribal Medicaid Administrative Claiming and Tribal EPSDT Continuing Care Providers. Out stationed eligibility staff is located at the Alaska Native Medical Center. Provider response to outreach and enrollment assistance has been positive, and we utilize a train-the-trainer approach.

Thirty percent of the enrolled SCHIP population were Alaskan Native/American Indian at September 30, 2007 month-end point-in-time. The Asian population represented 7.88%; the Hispanic or Latino population represented 5.94%; the Black or African American population represented 5.54%; the Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander population represented 3.84%; and finally the White population represented 42.92% of SCHIP enrollees.

SCHIP enrollee gender is almost split equally with 48.76% females and 51.23% males in FFY 07. (See attached graphs on race, ethnicity and gender of children enrolled in Denali KidCare)

4. What percentage of children below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or SCHIP have been enrolled in those programs? (Identify the data source used). [7500]

According to CMS slides that were sent by Dennis Smith to NASMD (attached), in 2006, Alaska had 59,597 children ages 0 to 18 living in families with incomes at or below 200% FPG. According to 2006 CMS Statistical Enrollment Data Systems (SEDS) reports, the number of Medicaid children ever enrolled during the year totaled 88,340, and the number of SCHIP Medicaid expansion children ever enrolled during the year in Denali KidCare was 22,227. Therefore, Medicaid/SCHIP enrollment as a percentage of less than or equal to 200% FPG in Alaska is 185.52%, the second highest in the nation according to the information sent by Dennis Smith. This information would indicate that Alaska has a much better participation rate than the 95% requirement, and should not have difficulty with future expansion efforts to 200% FPG or higher should Alaskan Legislators choose to do so.

SUBSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)

States with a separate child health program up to and including 200% of FPL must complete question 1. 1. Is your state's eligibility level up to and including 200 percent of the FPL? ☐ Yes No N/A If yes, if you have substitution prevention policies in place, please identify those strategies. [7500] States with a separate child health program above 200 through 250% of FPL must complete question 2. All other states with trigger mechanisms should also answer this question. Is your state's eligibility level above 200 and up to and including 250 percent of the FPL? ⊠ No □ N/A If yes, please identify the trigger mechanisms or point at which your substitution prevention policy is instituted. [7500] States with separate child health programs over 250% of FPL must complete question 3. All other states with substitution prevention provisions should also answer this question. 3. Does your state cover children above 250 percent of the FPL or does it employ substitution prevention provisions? X Yes □No \square N/A

If yes, identify your substitution prevention provisions (waiting periods, etc.). [7500]

Alaska imposes a one-year crowd-out substitution provision through an 1115 demonstration waiver. The upper FPG in FFY 07 was 154% FPG. Effective July 2007 our Legislature increased the FPGs to 175% FPG and we received Title XXI SPA approval notification on December 28, 2007. See 1115 waiver annual reports submitted to CMS for more information on Denali KidCare 1115 Demonstration Waiver #XIX-11-W-00186-0.

All States must complete the following 3 questions

4. Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and how the State evaluates the effectiveness of its policies. **[7500]**

Substitution of coverage is monitored and measured through a denial report that is run on a monthly basis to show the reasons for application denials. We then determine percentages based on the denial reasons/categories and graph the information. Through Alaska's participation in a Robert Wood Johnson Process Improvement Collaborative, Plan-Do-Study-Act Cycles, during FFY 04, we also began to chart denials as a percentage of application decisions, and what we found is that we approve on average approximately 80% of applications received and we deny on average approximately 20% of applications received. In FFY 06, the approval range was 72% - 82%, and the corresponding denial range was 18% - 28%. In FFY 07, the

approval range was 62% - 93%, and the corresponding denial range was 7% - 38%. The denial trend has been downward since we began tracking in November 2002. The fluctuations and differences reflected during FFY 07 have been caused by the institution of the Deficit Reduction Act (DRA) citizenship and identity requirements, processing backlogs and staffing turnover.

5. At the time of application, what percent of applicants are found to have insurance? [7500]

In previous years, we reported the percentage of cases denied because the family income was greater than 150% FPG and the applicants had health insurance as a percentage of total denials rather than a percentage of applications (two different ways of reporting the result). I am not able to report the percentage of total Denali KidCare applicants that are found to have insurance since applicants with insurance whose family incomes are less than or equal to 150% FPG are not tracked through specialized reporting since these families can have insurance and Title XIX Medicaid simultaneously. Denial notices are tracked on families whose incomes exceed 150% FPG and have health insurance coverage.

Beginning in FFY 07, I will report the percentage of cases denied because family income was greater than 150% FPG and they were found to have other health insurance as a percentage of applications. I will also report the same percentage for FFY 06. (See attached D215 denial notice chart)

In FFY 06, cases denied because families had other health insurance coverage and incomes greater than 150% FPG ranged from 1.8% - 2.8% of all applications. In numbers, the low end of the range was 25 applications denied of 1,219 total applications to the high end of the range, 42 of 1,498. For FFY 06 total applications denied for having health insurance coverage and family incomes of greater than 150% FPG equaled 432 of 18,788 total applications or about 2.3%, a very small percentage.

In FFY 07, cases denied because families had other health insurance coverage and incomes greater than 150% FPG ranged from .49% - 2.84% of all applications. In numbers, the low end of the range was 7 applications denied of 1,443 total applications to the high end of the range, 38 of 1,336. For FFY 07, total applications denied for having health insurance coverage and family incomes of greater than 150% FPG equaled 227 of 17,766 total applications or about 1.3%, an even smaller percentage than FFY 06, and if average costs of children are considered, it would be minimal. This is important in the discussion of crowd-out, the August 17, 2007 letter and SCHIP reauthorization.

6. Describe the incidence of substitution. What percent of applicants drop group health plan coverage to enroll in SCHIP? **[7500]**

Denali KidCare had no incidence of substitution of coverage/crowd-out in the data that was reported utilizing the methodology described in the waiver in the first and second Annual Denali KidCare Waiver Reports submitted to CMS in 2006 and 2007. As reported last year, in March

2005, one case was found to have circumvented the system and gained public coverage; however, procedures were instituted to prevent this from reoccurring.

COORDINATION BETWEEN SCHIP AND MEDICAID

(This subsection should be completed by States with a Separate Child Health Program

1	. Do you have the same redetermination procedures to renew eligibility for Medicaid and SCHIP (e.g., the same verification and interview requirements)? Please explain. [7500]
2	. Please explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to SCHIP and from SCHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain. [7500]
3	. Are the same delivery systems (including provider networks) used in Medicaid and SCHIP? Please explain. [7500]
4	. For states that do not use a joint application, please describe the screen and enroll process. [7500] .
ELIG	IBILITY REDETERMINATION AND RETENTION
	What measures does your State employ to retain eligible children in SCHIP? Please check all that pply and provide descriptions as requested.
	Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers
	Sends renewal reminder notices to all families
	 How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program? [500] 2
	• At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?) [500] The first pre-printed renewal is mailed 45 days in advance of month-end coverage expiration,
	and if no response has been received by the fifth day of the month of expiration, a second preprinted form is mailed on the 15th day of the month of expiration.
	Sends targeted mailings to selected populations
	• Please specify population(s) (e.g., lower income eligibility groups) [500]
	Holds information campaigns
\boxtimes	Provides a simplified reenrollment process,
	Please describe efforts (e.g., reducing the length of the application, creating combined Medicaid/SCHIP application) [500]

See FFY 01 Annual Report for discussion.

\triangleright	Conducts surveys or focus groups with disenrollees to learn more about reasons for disenrollment please describe: [500]
	See FFY 01 Annual Report for discussion.
	Other, please explain: [500]
2.	Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology. [7500]
	Anecdotally, we hear that recipients prefer the preprinted renewal form and find it much easier to complete which suggests that they like simplification; however, it appears to do little in encouraging them to return the form on time. Since our program is a Medicaid expansion rather than a separate SCHIP we cannot impose limitations or restrictions on program participation as a result of not returning the renewal form on time, and since a recipient under Medicaid can simply fill out an application any time services are needed, there is no incentive to return the renewal form on time; however, given the delay in processing due to the introduction of DRA citizenship and identity documentation requirements, there may well be an incentive to return the forms timely and is something to analyze.
	During FFY 04 we participated in the Robert Wood Johnson Process Improvement Collaborative (16 state collaborative to study processes and to implement improvement strategies based on what was learned through PDSA cycles) through Covering Kids and Families, and what we found when we looked at cases that auto closed at renewal was that approximately 30% of those cases re-open within 30 days after expiration with an even greater percentage re-opening in a six-month period after expiration. We learned that we have a much lower closure rate at renewal than was thought given the fact that 30% re-open within a 30 day period after expiration. The conclusion that we derive from this information is that our recipients like the ease of the pre-printed form, but the form itself does not seem to encourage the timely return of the form.
3.	What percentage of children in the program are retained in the program at redetermination? What percentage of children in the program are disenrolled at redetermination? [500]
	During FFY 07, the percentage range of children's Denali KidCare cases that are retained at redetermination or renewal was between 27.08% to 61.31% or an average of 54.73%.
	The percentage range of children's Denali KidCare cases not retained at re-determination or renewal in FFY 07 was 38.69% to 53.62% or an average of 44.48%. (See attached chart)
4.	Does your State generate monthly reports or conduct assessments that track the outcomes of individuals who disenroll, or do not reenroll, in SCHIP (e.g., how many obtain other public or private

cov	. •	w many rer	main unins	ured, how	many age-	out, how m	nany move	to a new g	eographic	
	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ N/A									
	When wa	s the mont	hly report o	or assessm	nent last co	nducted?	[7500]			
		yes to the o					y of the mo	st recent fi	ndings (in	the
Finding	as from Re	eport/Asse	essment o	n Individu	ıals Who [Disenroll.	or Do Not	Reenroll i	n SCHIP	
Total Number of Dis- enrollees		her public	Remain u		Age-out		Move to i	new	Other	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
[7500]. Cost :		State unde					remiums/e	nrollment fo	ees on	
2.	services i	State unden SCHIP?					ost sharing	on utilizati	on of healt	h
	N/A									
3.	undertake	ate has incr en any asse nent, and u	essment of	f the impac	t of these of	changes or	n application	n, enrollme	ent,	
	N/A									
Progr		NSORED II INDER THE N			•					
		ate offer an children an					including a	a premium	assistance	
		lease ansv kip to Prog			ion.					

Ch	Children					
	Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.					
	 ☐ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan ☐ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration ☐ Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration ☐ Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration 					
Ad	Adults					
	Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.					
	 ☐ Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan ☐ SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration ☐ Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration ☐ Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP) 					
2.	2. Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Che	eck all that apply.)				
	□ Parents and Caretaker Relatives□ Childless Adults□ Pregnant Women					
3.	Briefly describe how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored insurance program or a premium assistance program, how do you coordinate assistance between the state and/or employer, etc.) [7500]					
4.	4. What benefit package does the ESI program use? [7500]					
5.	5. Are there any minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package? [7500]	0]				
6.	6. Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits or cost sharing?	[7500]				
7.	 Are there any limits on cost sharing for children in your ESI program? Are ther sharing for adults in your ESI program? [7500] 	re any limits on cost				
are	8. Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the ESI program for ware used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in this passes were covered incidentally, i.e., not explicitly covered through a demonstration).					
	Number of childless adults ever-enrolled during the reportir	ng period				
	Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period					

Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period
9. Identify the estimated amount of substitution, if any, that occurred or was prevented as a result of your employer sponsored insurance program (including premium assistance program). Discuss how was this measured? [7500]
10. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your ESI program has experienced? [7500]
11. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your ESI program? [7500]
12. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your ESI program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]
13. What do you estimate is the impact of your ESI program (including premium assistance) on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured? [7500]
14. Identify the total state expenditures for providing coverage under your ESI program during the reporting period. (For states offering premium assistance under a family coverage waiver or for states offering employer sponsored insurance or premium assistance under a demonstration.) [7500]
15. Provide the average amount each entity pays towards coverage of the beneficiary under your ESI program:
State:
Employer:
Employee:
16. If you offer a premium assistance program, what, if any, is the minimum employer contribution? [500]

CO	. Do you have a cost effectiveness test that you apply in determining whether an applicant can receive verage (e.g., the state's share of a premium assistance payment must be less than or equal to the cost covering the applicant under SCHIP or Medicaid)? [7500]
	. Is there a required period of uninsurance before enrolling in your program? If yes, what is the period uninsurance? [500]
19	. Do you have a waiting list for your program? Can you cap enrollment for your program? [500]
	ROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE SCHIP PROGRAMS E. THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EXPANSIONS)
1.	Does your state have a written plan that has safeguards and establishes methods and procedures for:
	(1) prevention
	(2) investigation
	(3) referral of cases of fraud and abuse?
	Please explain: [7500]
2.	For the reporting period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, regarding fraud and abuse in the following areas:
	Provider Credentialing
	Number of cases investigated
	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	Provider Billing
	Number of cases investigated
	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials
	Beneficiary Eligibility
	Number of cases investigated
	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

	Are these cases for:
	SCHIP
	Medicaid and SCHIP Combined
3. 1	Does your state rely on contractors to perform the above functions? ☐ Yes, please answer question below.
	□ No
4.	If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide oversight of those contractors? Please explain: [7500]
Ent	er any Narrative text below. [7500]

SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (Note: This reporting period =Federal Fiscal Year 2007. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.)

COST OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN

Benefit Costs	2007	2008	2009
Insurance payments			
Managed Care			
Fee for Service	21025187	31113052	33757661
Total Benefit Costs	21025187	31113052	33757661
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)			
Net Benefit Costs	\$ 21025187	\$ 31113052	\$ 33757661

Administration Costs

Personnel			
General Administration	84508	125055	135685
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)	1064574	1575356	1709261
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs	_		
Other (e.g., indirect costs)	891025	1318538	1430614
Health Services Initiatives			
Total Administration Costs	2040107	3018949	3275560
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	2336132	3457006	3750851

Federal Title XXI Share	16217208	22779697	24208617
State Share	6848086	11352304	12824604
	00005004	0.4400004	0700004

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN	23065294	34132001	37033221

2. What were the se	ources of non-Federal funding used for State match during the reporting period?
C E F P	tate appropriations county/local funds mployer contributions oundation grants rivate donations obacco settlement other (specify) [500]

3. Did you experience a short fall in SCHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough Federal SCHIP funds for your program? [1500]

Alaska was one of the States projected to be a shortfall state in FFY 07, and almost was; however, due to declining enrollment as a result of the DRA citizenship identity requirements we were able to carry forward approximately \$400,000. Alaska has never received adequate federal allotment for Denali KidCare as the allocation formula does not serve Alaska due to numerous difficulties with CPS data, health care worker wages that are growing slower in Alaska than the rest of the nation, the inverse relationship of the allocation formula (the greater the enrollment, the less the allocation or conversely the smaller the enrollment, the greater the allocation) due to the uninsured number being counted in both numerator and denominator as well as the formula ceiling. Alaska was the first state in the early years of the SCHIP to experience a Federal shortfall which occurred in 2000. See attached charts.

4. In the table below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month cost rounded to a whole number. If you have SCHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

	# of eligibles \$ PMPM		20	2008		009
			# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM
Managed Care		\$		\$		\$
Fee for Service	7553	\$ 2784	9548	\$ 3258	10359	\$ 3258

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

Alaska has no managed care companies in the state, and therefore does not calculate a PMPM cost.

SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY SCHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

1. If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with SCHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	SCHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility			HIFA Waiver Demonstration Eligibility					
		* Upper % of FPL are defined as Up to and Including							
Children	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			
Parents	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			
Childless Adults	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			
Pregnant Women	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			

	Identify the total number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your CHIP demonstration during the reporting period.
_	Number of children ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
3. V o h	Number of parents ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
_	Number of pregnant women ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
_	Number of childless adults ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
3.	What have you found about the impact of covering adults on enrollment, retention, and access to care of children? You are required to evaluate the effectiveness of your demonstration project, so report here on any progress made in this evaluation, specifically as it relates to enrollment, retention, and access to care for children. [1000]
4.	Please provide budget information in the following table for the years in which the demonstration is approved. <i>Note: This reporting period (Federal Fiscal Year 2007 starts 10/1/06 and ends 9/30/07).</i>

COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1 (e.g., children)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1					

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2

(e.g., parents)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2			
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3			
(e.g., pregnant women)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			
<u> </u>			
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4			
(e.g., childless adults)	•		
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			
Total Benefit Costs			
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting			
Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Administration Costs			
Personnel			
General Administration			
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other (specify)			
Total Administration Costs			
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)			
, ,			
Federal Title XXI Share			
State Share			

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)? [500]

TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION

Other notes relevant to the budget: [7500]

SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1. For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted SCHIP. [7500]

During the reporting period, October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007 our fiscal environment was strong with continued windfall oil revenues. The Governor's first budget focused on her commitment to spend less, save, more and live within the state's means by controlling government growth.

The Governor's three budget priorities focused on education, public safety and transportation while saving for the future with emphasis on fully funding the K-12 education foundation formula, reinstating the longevity bonus for seniors who were prematurely cut from the program, funding to help local governments, increasing Alaska Public Office Commission funding to hire an investigator, calling for repeal of the studded tire tax, returning business licensee tax back to prior levels, saving for Alaska's future, proposing to deposit Fiscal Year 2007 and 2008 expected surplus of \$1.8 billion into the Constitutional Budget Reserve, and finally proposing to deposit \$1.3 billion from the Permanent Fund Earnings Reserve into the corpus of the Permanent Fund which was expected to be the second largest in state's history.

In Fiscal Year 2007, the new Governor established the Alaska Health Care Strategies Planning Council lead by the Department of Health and Social Services Commissioner and appointed 14 members to develop a statewide plan to identify both short and long-term strategies to effectively address issues of access to, cost of and quality of health care for Alaskans. Six preliminary recommended goals and corresponding sample strategies were released near the end of fiscal year 2007 at the Alaska Health Summit. The Health Care Strategies Planning Council will deliver the final plan with recommended short and long-term strategies to the Governor and Legislature by January 1, 2008.

- 1. Goal: Health costs for all Alaskans will consistently be well below the national average. Strategy: Publish a website with comparative information about health.
- 2. Goal: Alaska will have a sustainable health care work force. Strategy: Assess the state's health care workforce and develop policies to alleviate the shortage of health care workers.
- 3. Goal: All Alaskan communities will have appropriate and sustainable clean and safe water and wastewater systems. No Strategy.

- 4. Goal: Quality health care will be accessible to 100 percent of Alaskans to meet their health care needs. Strategy: Decrease the use of emergency rooms for primary medical care, and improve primary care in rural areas through community health centers and public health nursing.
- 5. Goal: Personal responsibility and prevention in health care become a top priority for government. private sector, communities, families, and individuals. Strategy: Develop incentives (such as cost saving for taking action on prevention) and disincentives (such as the tobacco tax) to encourage Alaskan's to improve their health.
- 6. Goal: Develop and foster the statewide leadership necessary to support a comprehensive statewide health care policy. Strategy: Ask the Governor to take the lead in promoting good health and creating an Alaska Health Care Commission.

The Health Care Strategy Council's final plan is expected to include recommendations to increase the Federal Poverty Guidelines to 200% on Denali KidCare so that Alaska's low-income children are afforded the opportunity to receive health care services.

Effective July 2007, the Federal Poverty Guidelines on Denali KidCare were increased from the 2003 175% FPG fixed dollar income standards, representing 154% FPG (beginning April, 2007), to 175% FPG with the frozen 2003 standard removed. This is expected to add another 1,200+ children to Denali KidCare, thus reducing Alaska's uninsured low-income children slightly. More than 45% of all Alaskan children live in families with incomes of less than or equal to 200% FPGs with approximately 10,000 low-income uninsured children in the state.

Implementation of the Deficit Reduction Act (DRA) citizenship and identity requirements, employee turnover and staff shortages in the Denali KidCare eligibility office have had an impact on Denali KidCare and Medicaid enrollment causing significantly increased processing times on applications and renewals, lessened customer service and stressed staff despite their best efforts to meet the demands and serve the state's low-income residents. This compounded with the Payment Error Rate Measurement (PERM) eligibility and claims review Federal project beginning in FFY 2008 will have visible effects to children served by the program and to staff.

2. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced? [7500]

The citizenship documentation requirement under DRA has been the greatest challenge in FFY 07 to the Denali KidCare program. The volume of phone calls to the Denali KidCare office continues to increase and staff simply is not able to respond to the calls waiting in the queue. In addition pended

case volume remains high and we have not yet seen a rebound in overall child enrollment since the DRA requirements were instituted in August 2006 despite the increase in the FPGs to 175% effective July 1, 2007. See attached enrollment charts.

Prior to the DRA requirements, the Denali KidCare office was able to process applications and renewals within 72 hours.

3. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program? [7500]

The greatest accomplishment achieved was the legislative change to increase the FPGs to 175% and to remove the 2003 frozen standard that was effective July 1, 2007.

4. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your SCHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]

We hope to be able to pilot a 12 month re-determination renewal period for the Denali KidCare program which we believe will have beneficial impacts to children's coverage stability, will reduce the rate of churning on children enrolled and reduce the administrative program costs and provide associated efficiencies for staff.

We hear that there has been discussion in the Governor's Health Care Strategies Council's of recommending an increase of the Denali KidCare FPGs to 200%, but the final recommendations have not been released as of report submission date.

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]